## DRIGINAL

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION C



**COMMISSIONERS** 

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAY 18 2010

DOCKETED BY WY

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY BOB STUMP

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

2010 MAY 18 A 8: 59

Docket No. W-01303A-09-0343

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIL VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS ANTHEM WATER DISTRICT AND ITS SUN CITY WATER DISTRICT.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIL VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS ANTHEM/AGUA FRIA WASTEWATER DISTRICT AND ITS SUN CITY WEST WASTE WATER DISTRICT.

Docket Nos W-01303A-09-0343

SUMMARY TESTIMONY OF MARSHALL MAGRUDER 17 MAY 2010

Marshall Magruder, a Santa Cruz County Arizona American Water Tubac Water District customer, an Intervenor files this Summary Testimony. The issues include

- a. Rate Consolidation and Rate Structure Design for all water districts and rate categories
- b. Rate Consolidation for all sewage water districts
- c. Rate Structures designed to provide a lowest rates for lowest consumption users and increasingly higher rates for the highest consumption users to conserve water throughout Arizona by sending "price signals" to the highest consuming water users
- d. Rate Structures designed to include five tiers (inclined blocks), so that customers can "see" and move from one rate to a lower rate more easily, by using less water.
- e. Consolidation of all "Fees and Miscellaneous Charges" into one schedule
- f. Consolidation of the Company's "Rules and Regulations" into one document
- g. "Water Demand Side Management (DSM) program with specified performance measurement objective criteria and goals for all rate categories including water audits
- h. Both Incentives and Penalties for decreased or increased water losses, respectively.

I certify this filing has been emailed provided to the parties on the Service List.

Respectfully submitted on this 17th day of May 2010

34 35

1 MARSHALL MAGRUDER 2 By Marchall Marchal 3 Marshall Magruder 4 PO Box 1267 5 Tubac, Arizona 85646-1267 6 (520) 398-8587 marshall@magruder.org 7 8 Service List 9 Original and 13 copies of the foregoing are filed this date with: 10 **Docket Control** (13 copies) Tenna Wolfe, Administrative Law Judge 11 **Arizona Corporation Commission** Commissioner's Aides (5) 1200 West Washington Street Maureen Scott, Attorney 12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2927 Robin R. Mitchell, Attorney 13 Additional Distribution (1 copy each) are filed this date by email: 14 Dan Pozefsky. Chief Counsel Norman D. James 15 Residential Utility Consumer Office (RUCO) Fennemore Craig, PC 1110 West Washington Street, Ste 220 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2958 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 17 **Thomas Broderick** Andrew Miller, Town Attorney 18 Arizona American Water Town of Paradise Valley 19820 N. 7th Street, Suite 201 6401 East Lincoln Drive 19 Phoenix, Arizona 85024 Paradise Valley, Arizona 85353-4328 20 Joan S. Burke Michael Patten and Timothy J. Sabo 21 Law Office of Joan S. Burke Roshka DeWuld & Patten PLC 1650 North First Avenue 400 East Van Buren Suite 800 22 Phoenix, Arizona 85003 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2262 23 Thomas H. Campbell Creg Patterson 24 Michael Hallam 916 West Adams Street, Suite 3 Lewis and Rocca, LLP Phoenix, Arizona 85007 25 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona, 85004 26 Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. PO Box 1448 27 Jeff Crockett and Robert Metli Tubac, Arizona 85646-1148 Snell & Wilmer 28 One Arizona Center Larry Woods 400 East Van Buren Street 29 13815 East Camino Del Sol Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 Sun City West, Arizona 85375 30 Judith M. Dworkin Copy of the foregoing mailed on this date to: 31 Sacks Tiernery PA Philip H. Cook 4250 N. Drinkwater Blvd, Fourth Floor 32 10122 West Signal Butte Circle Scottsdale, Arizona 85251-3693 Sun City, Arizona 85373 33 Bradley J. Herrema and Robert J. Saperstein W.R. Hansen 34 Brownstein Hayatt Farber Schreck, LLP 12302 West Shallow Drive 35 21 East Carillo Street Sun City, Arizona 85024

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

## SUMMARY TESTIMONY OF MARSHALL MAGRUDER

Marshall Magruder is an Arizona-American Water Company ratepayer in Santa Cruz County and an individual Intervenor party in the Last Rate Case and the Rate Consolidation Phase.

He has provided testimony on seven issues including proposals for

- Water District Rate Consolidation
- Wastewater District Rate Consolidation
- Water Conservation-oriented Rate Structure Design
- Consolidated Fees and Miscellaneous Charges
- · Consolidated Rules and Regulations
- Water Demand Side Management Program
- Water Loss Management Program with Incentives and Disincentives

His testimony includes use of the Company's Consolidated Rate model, version 3, to develop consolidated rates so the Total Revenue for the Company remains as from the last rate case for all districts. He adjusted the Service Charge and rate tiers, using the same for all districts while maintaining the Total Revenue requirements.

The rates are the same for all rate categories. There are five residential and four commercial tiers that varied from \$0.83 per 1,000 gallons for the First (residential) Tier, \$1.90 for Second Tier, \$2.96 for Third Tier, \$3.50 for Fourth Tier and \$6.00 for Fifth Tier. These tiers provide fair and reasonable rates for all customers by adjusting the width of each tier by rate category.

The Service Charges were also adjusted to meet the Total Revenue requirement.

The First (residential) was designed to provide a very low cost for water, as a "lifeline" rate, so the first 4,000 gallons only would cost \$3.32 that should cover customer needs for health, sanitary and food and also to be considered as a "low income" rate without the administrative burdens that result on the Company. With the 5/8- & 3/4-inch residential rate having a Service Charge of \$15.00, then the lifeline would cost less than \$18.32. As more water is consumed, higher tiers are designed to send price signals to customers to conserve or pay more.

The proposed revenue changes, for residential and commercial customers, by district.

| <b>Water District</b> | <u>Residential</u> | Commercial    |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Sun City              | Decrease 4%        | Increase 22%  |
| Sun City West         | Decrease 21%       | Decrease 3%   |
| Agua Fria             | Decrease 8%        | Increase 5%   |
| Anthem                | Decrease 5%        | Increase 15%  |
| Tubac                 | Decrease 3%        | Decrease 10%  |
| Mohave                | Increase 2%        | Increase 17%  |
| Havasu                | Decrease 8%        | insignificant |
| Paradise Valley       | Increase 32%       | Increase 29%  |
| Company Total         | Decrease 3%        | Increase 13%  |

These revenue changes account for the higher rates for more consumption. This one-time adjustment establishs "fair and reasonable" rates for all customers, as specified in the Arizona Constitution, reduce future rate shock and provide uniform rates in the long-term.

The Consolidated Rates for wastewater he submitted by the Company are fair and reasonable; however, some open issues remain in his Rebuttal of 14 May 2010.

The Company accepted his proposal for consolidated fees and miscellaneous charges.

 His proposal for Consolidated Rules and Regulations remains open.

The establishment of a Water Demand Side Management (WDSM) program should be implemented as recommended due to the critical impacts on Company revenues by reduced demand expected when his proposed conservation-oriented Rate Structure is implemented. Further, provisions for commercial WDSM programs, due to the 13% overall revenue increase, should have significant impacts on future costs in that rate class.

Overall, he presented a comprehensive package with significant benefits from consolidation emphasized in the design of the Rate Structure design, especially with higher rates for highest consumers and a "lifeline" rate for low-income customers.